From: "Novak, Madi" <Novak.Elisabeth@epa.gov>
To: "Young, Hunter" <Young.Hunter@epa.gov>

"Leake, Benjamin" <Leake.Benjamin@epa.gov>

"Shaffer, Caleb" <Shaffer.Caleb@epa.gov>

"Clark, Josie" < Clark. Josie@epa.gov>

"DeMaria, Eva" <DeMaria.Eva@epa.gov>

"Francis, Richard" < Francis. Richard@epa.gov>

Date: 7/19/2022 12:35:39 PM

Subject: RE: HxCDF Informal Talking Point

Attachments: Errata #1.pdf

Thanks Hunter! I just had a question about nomenclature for a PP, because Table 21 in the ROD lists 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 HxCDF as the focused COC when in fact it is 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF. This was corrected in Errata #1, correction #2 (Errata attached). Just in case you get the same question.

From: Young, Hunter < Young. Hunter@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 12:31 PM

**To:** Leake, Benjamin <Leake.Benjamin@epa.gov>; Shaffer, Caleb <Shaffer.Caleb@epa.gov>; Clark, Josie

<Clark.Josie@epa.gov>; DeMaria, Eva <DeMaria.Eva@epa.gov>; Novak, Madi <Novak.Elisabeth@epa.gov>; Francis,

Richard <Francis.Richard@epa.gov>
Subject: HxCDF Informal Talking Point

All,

Here is an informal talking point to verbally pass along to your project area Project Coordinators.

We believe the Principal Threat Waste (PTW) threshold value for 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran (HxCDF) was erroneously translated from the Portland Harbor Feasibility Study. EPA is tentatively planning an Errata to make the correction. We believe the PTW threshold value of 0.04  $\mu$ g/kg in the ROD should be 0.4  $\mu$ g/kg. We are sharing this tentative information early to you in order to be transparent and good partners during remedial design.

Feel free to send them to me if they have further questions.

Hunter Young U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 - Oregon Operations Office Young.Hunter@epa.gov (503)-326-5020